



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,791	11/29/2001	Geert Maertens	2551-68	9146
23117	7590	09/12/2005	EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			MOSHER, MARY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/995,791	MAERTENS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	1648

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) BJ Sadoff

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. ~~The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.~~

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



MARY E. MOSHER, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: MM called BJS to ask about originally filed specification, PTO copy did not have pages 60-62. Was application filed without these pages or did PTO lose them? BJS said application was filed without these pages, and pointed to original transmission letter which listed specification pages 1-59 and 63-94. .